

CROI 2020 Review: Antiretroviral Therapy Safety and Side Effects

Brian R. Wood, MD Associate Professor of Medicine University of Washington Mountain West AIDS Education & Training Center

March 26, 2020





No conflicts of interests or relationships to disclose.



Outline

- IMPAACT 2010: DTG + FTC/TAF During Pregnancy
- ADVANCE: EFV Metabolism and Weight Gain
- ADVANCE: CVD and Diabetes with EFV vs. DTG

- GEMINI1/2: DTG/3TC Initial ART Update
- ATLAS-2M/FLAIR: Long-acting CAB + RPV Update
- G6207 and other long-acting ARV's in development





IMPAACT 2010: DTG + FTC/TAF or FTC/TDF vs EFV/FTC/TDF During Pregnancy



DTG + FTC/TAF or FTC/TDF vs EFV/FTC/TDF During Pregnancy IMPAACT 2010: Background

Study Design: IMPAACT 2010

Background:

- Randomized, open-label, international, phase III noninferiority trial (22 sites in 9 countries)

Enrollment Criteria:

- ART-naïve pregnant adults (<14 days ART during pregnancy permitted)

- 14-28 weeks gestation

• Endpoints:

- Primary: delivery HIV RNA <200 copies/mL
- Secondary: adverse pregnancy outcomes, maternal and fetal adverse effects





DTG + FTC/TAF or FTC/TDF vs EFV/FTC/TDF During Pregnancy IMPAACT 2010: Baseline Characteristics

IMPAACT 2010: Baseline Chara			
Characteristic	DTG + FTC/TAF (n = 217)	DTG + FTC/TDF (n = 215)	EFV/FTC/TDF (n=211)
Age, years, median	26.8	26.0	26.6
Enrolled in Africa, n, %	187 (86)	189 (88)	188 (89)
Median gestational age, weeks	22.1	21.3	22.1
Median CD4 count, cells/mm ³	467	481	439
Median HIV RNA, copies/mL	781	715	1,357
HIV RNA <50 copies/mL, n, %	36 (17%)	37 (17%)	27 (13%)
ART prior to entry, n, %	176 (81%)	180 (84%)	176 (83%)
Median time on ART, days	6	6	6

Median duration follow-up before delivery: 17.4 weeks



DTG + FTC/TAF or FTC/TDF vs EFV/FTC/TDF During Pregnancy IMPAACT 2010: Results



Virologic suppression at delivery superior with DTG (p=0.005) Time to viral suppression also superior (p=0.001)



DTG + FTC/TAF or FTC/TDF vs EFV/FTC/TDF During Pregnancy IMPAACT 2010: Results

IMPAACT 2010: Adverse Pregn			
Adverse Outcomes, %	DTG + FTC/TAF (n = 217)	DTG + FTC/TDF (n = 215)	EFV/FTC/TDF (n=211)
Any adverse outcome	24.1	32.9	32.7
Preterm delivery	5.8	9.4	12.1
Small for gestational age	16.3	22.5	20.5
Stillbirth	3.7	5.2	1.9

Adverse pregnancy outcomes significantly less frequent with DTG + FTC/TAF vs DTG/FTC/TDF and EFV/FTC/TDF (p < .05) Neonatal death significantly less frequent with DTG + FTC/TAF vs EFV/FTC/TDF (p = .019)



DTG + FTC/TAF or FTC/TDF vs EFV/FTC/TDF During Pregnancy IMPAACT2010: Results

IMPAACT 2010: Maternal Weight			
Maternal Weight Gain	DTG + FTC/TAF (n = 217)	DTG + FTC/TDF (n = 215)	EFV/FTC/TDF (n=211)
Average weekly weight gain, kg	0.378*	0.319	0.291

*More weight gain with DTG + FTC/TAF vs DTG + FTC/TDF (p=.011) and vs EFV/FTC/TDF (p<.05) – clinical significance unknown



Investigator Conclusions

- For treatment-naive women initiating ART during pregnancy, VL suppression rate at delivery better with DTG-based ART vs EFV/FTC/TDF
- Adverse pregnancy outcomes less frequent with DTG + FTC/TAF vs DTG + FTC/TDF and EFV/FTC/TDF
- Maternal weekly weight gain greater with DTG + FTC/TAF vs DTG + FTC/TDF and EFV/FTC/TDF (significance unknown)
- Overall, supports WHO rollout of DTG for all and suggests TAF may be preferred over TDF during pregnancy







- Prior RCTs in Africa (NAMSAL, ADVANCE) found treatmentemergent obesity more common with DTG vs EFV
- Loss of function SNPs in CYP2B6 → higher EFV concentrations (slow metabolizers); ~20%-40% of African individuals
 - Prior study: more weight gain observed in *CYP2B6* slow metabolizers switching from EFV to INSTI-based ART
 - Maybe higher EFV means less lower weight before switch to INSTI?
 Due to mitochondria/adipocyte toxicity or impaired appetite?
- Current analysis: do loss of function SNPs in CYP2B6 lead to lower weight/less weight gain in PWH who initiate EFV?



Source: Griesel et al. CROI 2020. Abstr 82.

ADVANCE Comparison of Three First-Line Regimens

- Phase 3 RCT in South Africa
- Initial ART: DTG + FTC/TDF, DTG + FTC/TAF, EFV/FTC/TDF
- DTG arms noninferior with fewer discontinuations; TAF led to fewer bone/renal AE's

Mean weight change from baseline to <u>96 weeks</u>



Change in weight (kg)



- Participants treated with EFV and consented to CYP2B6 genotype: n = 171
 - 51 extensive metabolizers, 74 intermediate, 46 slow
- Patients treated with DTG + FTC/TDF: n = 351
- Measured weight and limb/trunk fat (by DXA) at week 48



- EFV/FTC/TDF arm: % change in weight significantly lower in slow vs extensive metabolizers (p = .004)
 - No difference for intermediate vs extensive metabolizers
 - On average, slow metabolizers lost weight; intermediate metabolizers remained at baseline weight or gained little weight; and extensive metabolizers gained weight from baseline
- Both slow and intermediate metabolizers had significantly lower % change in weight vs DTG + FTC/TDF
- No significant difference in % change in weight for extensive metabolizers treated vs DTG + FTC/TDF



Source: Griesel et al. CROI 2020. Abstr 82.

- Conclusion/interpretation:
 - Perhaps one of the reasons some individuals gain significant weight with switch from EFV to DTG is they are slow EFV metabolizers and high EFV levels suppress weight gain in some way



Source: Griesel et al. CROI 2020. Abstr 82.



ADVANCE: Risk of CVD and Diabetes with DTG or EFV-Based Initial ART



ADVANCE: Risk of CVD and Diabetes with DTG or EFV-Based Initial ART

- Median % change in visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) significantly greater at 96 weeks with DTG + FTC/TAF vs either comparator
- Significantly greater rise in certain metabolic parameters:
 - Total cholesterol comparing DTG + FTC/TAF to comparators
 - LDL comparing DTG + FTC/TAF to DTG + FTC/TDF
 - HDL comparing DTG + FTC/TAF to EFV/FTC/TDF
 - Fasting glucose comparing DTG + FTC/TAF to EFV/FTC/TDF
 - Systolic BP comparing DTG + FTC/TAF to DTG + FTC/TDF



Source: Hill et al. CROI 2020. Abstr 81.

ADVANCE: Risk of CVD and Diabetes with DTG or EFV-Based Initial ART

ADVANCE: 96-Week Metabolic Syndrome, CVD, and Diabetes Risk					
Outcome	DTG + FTC/TAF	DTG + FTC/TDF	EFV/ FTC/TDF		
Metabolic syndrome (% persons)					
Baseline	5	6	4		
Week96	8*	6	3		
10-year estimated MI risk (Framingham, % risk)					
Baseline	2.37	2.53	2.24		
Week96	+0.43	+0.22	+0.28		
10-year estimated DM risk (Qdiabetes, % risk)					
Baseline	0.3	0.4	0.3		
Week96	+0.9**	+0.5	+0.7**		

*DTG +FTC/TAF greater than both comparators

**DTG +FTC/TAF greater than DTG +FTC/TDF, and EFV/FTC/TDF greater than DTG +FTC/TDF

MWAETC

Source: Hill et al. CROI 2020. Abstr 81.

ADVANCE: Risk of CVD and Diabetes with DTG or EFV-Based Initial ART

• DTG + FTC/TAF resulted in:

- Greater increases in incident obesity, VAT/SAT, 10-year DM risk, and metabolic syndrome
- No differences in risk of MI or coronary death by Framingham estimation
- Limitations:
 - Median age 31 years
 - Weight gain among women has not plateaued
 - Models do not account for weight gain after week 96



Source: Hill et al. CROI 2020. Abstr 81.

The Mountain West AIDS Education and Training (MWAETC) program is supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of an award totaling \$2,972,660 with 0% financed with non-governmental sources.

The content in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement by, HRSA, HHS, or the U.S. Government.

