

## **Revisiting Cardiovascular Risks of Abacavir:** Insights from the REPRIEVE Trial

Jimmy Ma, MD, MS Assistant Professor Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases University of Washington

May 15, 2025



No disclosures or conflicts of interest



## Disclaimer

Funding for this presentation was made possible by U1OHA29296 from the Human Resources and Services Administration HIV/AIDS Bureau. The views expressed do not necessarily reflect the official policies of the Department of Health and Human Services nor does mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. *Any trade/brand names for products mentioned during this presentation are for training and identification purposes only.* 





- Review evidence and current guidelines for abacavir (ABC) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk
- Discuss recent findings from REPRIEVE on CVD risk for ABC vs tenofovir (TFV)-based ART regimens
- Discuss how these results may impact your own practice and approach to ABC from a CV perspective





A 63-year-old man with HTN and dyslipidemia presents to your clinic to establish care for HIV. He has had well-controlled HIV for >10 years and currently has a CD4 359 and undetectable HIV RNA. He is currently on atorvastatin 20mg QD, losartan 50mg QD, and ABC/3TC/DTG.

He is interested in reducing his risk for cardiovascular disease and heard that ABC may impact this risk.

**Poll:** Would you consider changing his ART regimen? Y/N



## Current DHHS and IAS-USA Guidelines on ABC and CV Risk

## • US DHHS (section last updated Sept 2024)

- "Some data continue to support an association between ABC and an increased risk for serious cardiovascular events"
- "ABC should be used with caution or avoided in people with cardiovascular disease or known high cardiovascular risk."

## • IAS-USA (Dec 2024)

 "People with or at high risk for cardiovascular disease who are receiving an abacavir-containing regimen should switch to a non– abacavir-containing regimen if an active regimen is available (evidence rating: Allb)."



## Evidence for ABC and CV Risk

- First described in D:A:D study (2008): ABC associated with ~2x RR for MI
  - Potentially only recent exposure (6 mo) and not cumulative or past use
- Potential mechanism
  - Platelet aggregation/hyperreactivity + WBC recruitment => plaques
- Some controversy of ABC's higher risk of CVD
  - MI and broader CVD association reproduced in multiple studies + meta-analyses, while other studies did not show higher risk
  - Channeling bias
  - Used with older, more toxic ART drugs no longer widely used
- Knowledge gap: (1) no LMIC data, (2) contemporary ART drugs



**Open Forum Infectious Diseases** 

MAJOR ARTICLE

#### Infectious Diseases Society of America

HIV Medicine Association

# Cardiovascular Hazards of Abacavir- Versus Tenofovir-Containing Antiretroviral Therapies: Insights From an Analysis of the REPRIEVE Trial Cohort

Emma Davies Smith,<sup>1,a,®</sup> Carlos Malvestutto,<sup>2,a,®</sup> Heather J. Ribaudo,<sup>1,®</sup> Carl J. Fichtenbaum,<sup>3,®</sup> Judith A. Aberg,<sup>4,®</sup> Maya Watanabe,<sup>1</sup> Gerald S. Bloomfield,<sup>5,®</sup> Judith S. Currier,<sup>6,®</sup> Sarah M. Chu,<sup>7</sup> Kathleen V. Fitch,<sup>7,®</sup> Marissa R. Diggs,<sup>7</sup> Roger Bedimo,<sup>8,®</sup> Javier Valencia,<sup>9</sup> Cristina Gomez-Ayerbe,<sup>10,®</sup> Indira Brar,<sup>11,®</sup> Jose Valdez Madruga,<sup>12,®</sup> Michael T. Lu,<sup>13</sup> Pamela S. Douglas,<sup>14,®</sup> Markella V. Zanni,<sup>7,b</sup> and Steven K. Grinspoon<sup>7,b,®</sup>



## **REPRIEVE Trial: Background**

#### Background

 Phase 3, randomized, trial comparing daily pitavastatin versus placebo in persons with HIV who had low-moderate cardiovascular risk

#### Enrollment Criteria

- Age 40-75 years
- Persons with HIV taking ART
- CD4 count >100 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> at enrollment
- eGFR >60
- Low-moderate cardiovascular risk (10-year ASCVD risk score ≤15%)
- At least one fully active agent available for HIV treatment





### **REPRIEVE Trial: Background**

Study 3/2015 – 7/2019 Conducted in 12 Countries

#### 7769 Participants Enrolled

- Women = 32%
- Mean age = 50 years

#### **Findings**

Pitavastatin had 35% reduction in major adverse CV events compared with placebo DSMB Stopped Study



## Sub-Study Methods

- Additional inclusion criteria for sub-study: taking ABC, TAF, TDF at entry
- Outcome: all adjudicated
  - Primary: time to first major adverse CV event (MACE) (MI, CVA/TIA, PAD, revascularization, CV death)
  - Secondary: time to first "hard" MACE (MI, CVA, CV death)
- Compared risk of outcomes by ABC vs TAF vs TDF groups using 2 approaches:
  - Goal: to "simulate" a clinical trial using existing data
  - Marginal structural Cox models adjusted for clinical and REPRIEVE study factors
  - <u>"Intention-to-treat" (ITT):</u> ignored any switches over follow-up
  - <u>"Modified ITT"</u>: allowed 1 switch, additional weighting to reduce potential bias related to switching



## Key Results: Table 1 Highlights

- 6114 total participants in the sub-study cohort (79% of REPRIEVE)
  - Median follow up time: 5.6 years
  - ~50% 40-49y, 32% female at birth, ~2/3 non-White
  - ASCVD risk ~80% <7.5%, 87% CD4>350
  - TDF: 4274, ABC: 883, TAF: 957
    - ~70% ABC + TAF on INSTIS, 63% TDF on NNRTIS
  - NRTI switch: range 0-7 switch
    - 0 = 62%, 1 = 29%,  $\ge 2 = 7.5\%$
    - Median time to first switch was ~2 years
- MACE: 183 first events
  - 5% ABC, 4% TAF, 2% TDF
  - 64% of first MACE occurred on the entry NRTI



Event (Observed)	Adjustment	ABC vs TAF	ABC vs TDF	TAF vs TDF
MACE (183)	None	1.3 (.8-2.0)	2.5 (1.8-3.6)	2.0 (1.3-2.9)
	Baseline	1.5 (.9-2.3)	1.4 (.9-2.1)	0.9 (.6-1.5)
	Baseline + Switch	1.6 (.9-2.7)	2.0 (1.2-3.4)	1.2 (.7-2.2)
Hard MACE (121)	None	1.1 (.6-1.8)	2.5 (1.6-3.8)	2.3 (1.4-3.5)
	Baseline	1.2 (.7-2.1)	1.3 (.8-2.2)	1.1 (.6-1.9)
	Baseline + Switch	1.5 (.8-2.7)	1.8 (1.0-3.4)	1.2 (.6-2.4)
MI (57)	None	1.1 (.5-2.1)	3.7 (2.0-6.9)	3.5 (1.9-6.6)
	Baseline	1.4 (.7-2.8)	1.9 (.9-4.2)	1.4 (.6-3.1)
	Baseline + Switch	1.4 (.6-3.0)	3.5 (1.3-9.4)	2.5 (.9-7.0)
Stroke (50)	None	1.5 (.6-3.6)	2.1 (1.1-4.1)	1.4 (.7-3.1)
	Baseline	1.5 (.6-3.8)	1.1 (.5-2.5)	0.8 (.3-1.9)
	Baseline + Switch	2.9 (.9-9.8)	1.3 (.5-3.4)	0.5 (.1-1.5)
CV death (21)	None	0.9 (.2-3.6)	1.6 (.5-5.0)	1.8 (.6-5.5)
	Baseline	0.9 (.1-3.7)	1.1 (.3-3.8)	1.3 (.4-4.5)
	Baseline + Switch	0.9 (.2-4.4)	1.8 (.4-7.6)	1.9 (.4-8.7)



## Sub-Study Conclusions

- Results generally align with earlier studies:
  - ABC associated with higher risk of first MACE (and components) compared to TFV, after adjustment for relevant clinical characteristics
  - TAF vs TDF had similar hazard of first MACE and components
- Limitations:
  - Anchor drug interactions with NRTI and impact on MACE
  - Evaluated existing NRTI exposure = survival bias, not a new user analysis
- Next REPRIEVE study: timing and duration of ABC on MACE
  - Poster at IAS 2024: https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.26279



# IAS 2024 Presentation: Abacavir is associated with elevated risk for cardiovascular events in the REPRIEVE trial

#### Figure: Analysis of Primary MACE events



Risk factor and regimen adjusted analysis adjusts for entry ART class (NRTI + NNRTI, NRTI + INSTI, NRTI + PI, NRTI-sparing, other NRTI-containing regimens), age, natal sex, race, global burden of disease region, family history of CVD, smoking, hypertension, BMI, substance use, nadir CD4, HIV viral load, creatinine clearance, fasting glucose and lipids.

#### Fichtenbaum et al. IAS 2024.

A 63-year-old man with HTN and dyslipidemia presents to your clinic to establish care for HIV. He has had well-controlled HIV for >10 years and currently has a CD4 359 and undetectable HIV RNA. He is currently on atorvastatin 20mg QD, losartan 50mg QD, and ABC/3TC/DTG.

He is interested in reducing his risk for cardiovascular disease and heard that ABC may impact this risk.

**Poll:** Would you consider changing his ART regimen? Y/N

#### **Discussion:**

- Why did you choose to change or not change his ART?
- How would you counsel him on ABC?
- When or what groups would you consider using ABC in contemporary ART?



## Acknowledgements

- Jehan Budak
- Hillary Liss
- David Spach
- Brian Wood





## Thank you! Questions and Comments?



This Mountain West AIDS Education and Training (MWAETC) program is supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of award 1 TR7HA53202-01-00 totaling \$2,982,063 with 0% financed with non-governmental sources.

The content in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement by, HRSA, HHS, or the U.S. Government.

